## Case 4:<u>16-cr-00118-A Document 315 Filed 11/27/17 Page 1 of 17 PageID 1214</u>

	1			
	1			
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS				
FORT WORTH DIVISION				
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	. CRIMINAL ACTION NO. 4:16-CR-118-A-6			
V.	. Fort Worth, Texas			
AMANDA NICOLE RISOVI	. October 12, 2016			
MD ANGOD TOM	OF PROGREDINGS			
TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS  (Sentencing Hearing)				
BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOHN MCBRYDE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE				
APPEARANCES:				
For the Government:	MR. SHAWN SMITH			
	United States Attorney's Office 801 Cherry Street, Suite 1700 Fort Worth, Texas 76102-6897			
	(817) 252-5200			
For the Defendant:	MR. STEVEN T. JUMES Varghese Summersett			
	300 Throckmorton, Suite 1650 Fort Worth, Texas 76102			
	(817) 203-2220			
Court Reporter:	MS. ANA P. WARREN U.S. District Court Reporter			
	501 W. 10th Street, Room 502			
	Fort Worth, Texas 76102-3637 (817) 850-6681			
Drogoodings reserved by meshe	nigal atanaganahari twanggaint			
Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography; transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.				
	FOR THE NORTH FORT WOR  UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  V.  AMANDA NICOLE RISOVI			

that the government filed a motion for downward departure.

THE COURT: Yes. There was an addendum.

2.0

Did the two of you receive the addendum in a timely manner?

MR. JUMES: We did, Your Honor. It had no impact upon the guidelines. We have no objection to either the PSR or the addendum.

THE COURT: Did both of you read those items and then discuss them with each other?

MR. JUMES: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. There being no objections to the presentence report, the Court adopts as the fact findings of the Court the facts set forth in the presentence report as modified or supplemented by the addendum, and the Court adopts as the conclusions the conclusions expressed in the presentence report as modified or supplemented by the addendum.

That the Criminal History Category is 4. That the calculated guideline imprisonment range -- well, it would be 360 months to life if the defendant had been charged with her true offense conduct, but because of what she was charged with, it was capped at 240 months. So that becomes the guideline sentencing range, 240 months. The supervised release range is three years. The fine range is \$25,000 to \$1 million, and a

conspiracy.

Following her arrest on multiple occasions, she has sat down with investigators and, in a very detailed process, has, again, talked about the knowledge that she obtained while working with multiple people in this drug conspiracy as well as her own participation in the drug conspiracy.

- Q. And how many people has her information led to the prosecution of?
- A. Her information has assisted in the prosecution of ten people. Those people would be Tonya Blackwood. She was one of the main -- Mrs. Risovi was one of the main witnesses against Mrs. Blackwood. Jackie Waters, again, Mrs. Risovi was one of the main witnesses utilized in charging and convicting Jackie Waters.

Nathan Cooper, Mrs. Risovi, again, was one of the main witnesses utilized in charging and convicting Nathan Cooper. Michael Barrett, also known as Motorcycle Mike. Mrs. Risovi was one of the main witnesses utilized in charging and convicting Mr. Barrett.

Albert Cinceros, Billy Leveritt, Billy Skaggs, Michael Young, Charles Deeds and Jessica Judge. Those are the ten defendants that we utilized her information against.

- Q. And most of those, or maybe more like half of those have already been sentenced, is that right, more or less?
- A. Yes, sir. The majority of them have been sentenced either

time.

challenges in her background, she has repeatedly told me that

25

she made her own bed. She is lying in it. Nobody has put a gun to her head, and she realizes she is here with good reason. Not only has she accepted responsibility and been a substantial cooperator, she is refreshingly grounded and honest about her situation.

Having said that, she, like many other defendants you heard about today, has suffered from a massive methamphetamine addiction that at least began at the age of 21. She had substantial challenges in her upbringing, part of which she took on a parental role at the age of 13 for her younger sister because of her parents' own addictions and behavior, and she also was the victim of significant abuse.

But having said all of that, she knows that she is here because of her conduct. And, Your Honor, we do not take glibly or lightly the fact that her statutory boundary already represents a significant discount from her guideline range.

We would ask the Court to consider, however, her longest prison sentence before now has been four years, and we would humbly ask the Court to consider quadrupling that sentence for a 16 year sentence. In those prior sentences, she only served one year of the four year sentence, and we believe that any progressive regime sanction under even a 16 year sentence, recognizing she's gotten a huge discount, still would accomplish the factors in 3553(a).

U.S. DISTRICT COURT

Thank you, Judge.

2.0

THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Risovi, you can make whatever statement or presentation you would like to make on the subject of mitigation, that is, the things you think the Court should take into account in determining what sentence to impose or on the subject of sentencing more generally.

DEFENDANT RISOVI: Thank you, Your Honor.

I had prepared here in my folder eight more examples of defining moments and characteristics from my childhood that were left out of the PSI and the downward variance. They're very well thought out, and even a few of them had more of a negative impact than what you have there in front of you, but that's exactly the problem and why you won't hear any of them. Beyond the fact that words can't accurately paint the picture necessary, the bigger reason is that today isn't about my childhood and it's not about my parents, things that no child should ever have to encounter. It's about me as an adult and the consequences of my thoughts and actions.

I still have something prepared to say, and I feel it's much more appropriate to this situation. At some point, I made a conscious decision that I would destroy me better than anyone else ever could, and I've done a really good job of that. I've done more damage than anyone else. It's nothing to boast about. Still my self-harm and self-destructive behaviors were my business until they affected others. Meth is a method of destruction above most others because, if

allowed, it quickly takes over and dictates the path for itself.

I cooperated. Regrettably, I lacked clarity of thought to realize that while I was busy avoiding my own personal issues, I was also contributing to the issues of others. My tendencies are a challenge that I'm just beginning to address. However, I would never disregard another's well-being as I do mine. I do everything I can to help out anyone I encounter even to the extent of my own detriment. Had I had the ability to see the picture beyond myself, I'm confident that my actions would have been different. As it was, I didn't see drugs as a negative in my life. So how could I view them as a negative in anyone else's. I had found something that worked for me mentally and emotionally, and, therefore, I didn't want to see that I was contributing to the chaos around me.

Addicts are generally negative and troublesome individuals and drain society as a whole. They steal from each other and responsible citizens alike. Because parents are away from the home or under the influence, children are left alone and neglected. Money that should be used in families pays for drugs, and I can imagine that I am directly causing CPS investigations due to parents being on meth or in jail.

My main victim, though, unlike the PSI stated -- it stated I didn't have any victims other than society as a whole. My main victim was my son. He missed out on several years that I

should have spent with him, and he's heard countless broken promises. He's 15 now, and he loves me to death. It's not fair that he should because I haven't done him justice as his mother.

None of that speaks anything that I'm proud of, and, actually, at the risk of sounding dramatic, it's tragic, because I'm really better than that. I'm stronger than that, and I'm certainly more intelligent than that. At 35 now -- this is embarrassing that at 35, I'm going to federal prison, and other than that, my son and that is my greatest accomplishment in life. I would give anything for a second chance at life, but that's not reality, and maybe I was dealt a bad hand of cards when I was young and I can analyze the who's, the how's, the why's to death, or I can decide how I want to live from here on out. I can't change my history. So as I see it, the only option is a turn-around. This is no legacy for me to leave behind my son and no example.

There's a quote from a great philosopher that I like, and it says: "Adversity is like a strong wind. I don't mean just that it just holds us back from places that we might otherwise go. It also tears away from us all the things that cannot be torn so that afterwards we see ourselves as we really are, not merely as we may like to be."

I would appreciate the opportunity to participate in the drug program. I don't have any intention to sit back and wait

out my time leaving the BOP in the same condition as I entered it.

I would also like to continue to finish my degrees in

psychology and social work so I can hopefully counsel without felony or certification issues. I have things in my favor, a plan, family behind me, and someone willing to help. So all hope isn't lost. Maybe I always think the worst of myself. It's in my make-up no matter what. Naturally, I'm anxious about making this change in my life, because I'm potentially exposing myself to a whole world of emotional pain, which, incidentally, is exactly what I've been trying to avoid.

Of course, there are obstacles. However, I'm more concerned with the future, where I envision myself, and where I want to be. Your Honor, I'm not a lost cause. I never was. I just lost my way.

Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. I think the defendant and her attorney recognize the problem, that the government's already given her a ten year benefit for her cooperation by the way they charged her. So she's already had a downward departure of ten years in that sense.

What is your thought as to how the Court should take that into account?

MR. JUMES: Your Honor, we certainly understand that the idea of starting this whole process at 240 months because

that's the statutory boundary is absurd and salacious. We do think that with the substantial cooperation and with the level of contrition that a sentence beneath 20 can still satisfy 3553. How far that should be is, obviously, in your hands, and I don't know how to more directly answer it than that, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, that's been happening too often in these cases where the government gives a benefit by the way they charge and then file a motion for downward departure, and that creates some difficulties.

She doesn't have a bad criminal history, which -- she has a criminal history, but it's not as bad as many that have been involved in these drug conspiracies. I can tell from the description of some of the offenses, she wasn't actually convicted of them, that she committed those offenses. So she has maybe more of a criminal history than her -- criminal history category she gives.

I'm going to give her a reduction of below the bottom of what is a somewhat fictional advisory guideline range of 240 months. I'm going to reduce that down to 220 months, which when you look at the reduction below what should have been the bottom of her guideline range, 360 months, is quite a reduction.

I'm going to combine that with a term of supervised release of three years, and that will start once she's

2.0

completed her sentence of imprisonment in this case and payment of a special assessment of \$100. I think a sentence of the kind I've described appropriately and properly takes into account the cooperation the defendant's provided to the government and all the factors the Court should consider in sentencing under 18, United States Code, Section 3553(a).

So the Court's ordering and adjudging that the defendant be committed to the custody of the Bureau of Prisons to serve a term of imprisonment of 220 months. I'm also ordering that the defendant serve a term of supervised release of three years to commence when she's completed her sentence of imprisonment and that the conditions of that supervised release will be the standard conditions that will be set forth in the judgment of conviction and sentence and the following additional conditions:

She shall not commit another federal, state, or local crime. She shall not possess illegal controlled substances. She shall cooperate in the collection of DNA as directed by the probation officer. She shall refrain from any unlawful use of a controlled substance and shall submit to one drug test within 15 days of release from imprisonment and at least two periodic drug tests thereafter as directed by the probation officer pursuant to the mandatory drug testing provision of the 1994 Crime Bill.

She shall participate in mental health treatment services

as directed by the probation officer until successfully discharged, and those services may include prescribed medications by a licensed physician. She shall contribute to the costs of those services at the rate of at least \$15 a month.

She shall participate in a program approved by the probation officer for the treatment of narcotic or drug or alcohol dependency that will include testing for the detection of substance use, and she shall abstain from the use of alcohol and all other intoxicants during and after completion of that treatment and shall contribute to the costs of those services at the rate of at least \$25 a month.

I'm also ordering that she pay a special assessment of \$100. That's payable immediately to the United States of America through the office of the Clerk of the Court here in Fort Worth.

Ms. Risovi, you have the right to appeal from the sentence I've imposed if you're dissatisfied with it. That appeal would be to the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit. You have the right to appeal in forma pauperis. That means without any cost to you if you were to qualify for it. You have the right to have the Clerk of the Court file a notice of appeal for you, and the Clerk would do that forthwith if you were to specifically request it.

You and your attorney have been given a form that outlines

```
certain rights and obligations in reference to an appeal.
 1
                                                                  Ιf
 2
      you haven't already done so, I want the two of you to review
 3
      it and be sure you understand it, and once both of you are
 4
      satisfied you understand it, I want both of you to sign it and
 5
      return it to the Court coordinator.
 6
          Has that been done?
 7
               MR. JUMES: It has, Your Honor.
 8
               THE COURT: Okay. The defendant is remanded to
 9
      custody, and the attorneys are excused.
10
               MR. JUMES: Your Honor, for the record, I know my
11
      client requested it. We wanted to formally request a
12
      recommendation for the RDAP program or some sort of treatment,
13
      and if you made that a part of the order --
14
               THE COURT: Well, I think I will recommend that in
      her case. I recommend that she be permitted to participate in
15
16
      what they call a long term -- use to call it a long term drug
17
      treatment program. I think it's now called what you called
18
      it.
19
               MR. JUMES: Thank you very much, Your Honor.
20
               THE COURT:
                           Okay.
21
          (End of proceedings, 12:30 p.m.)
22
23
                                   -000-
24
25
```

## Case 4:16-cr-00118-A Document 315 Filed 11/27/17 Page 17 of 17 PageID 1230

0000 112	.0-CI-00116-A DUCUIII	311C 010 1 110G 11		e 17 01 17 Pagen	17		
1	INDEX						
2	Witnesses:	Direct	Cross	Redirect	Recross		
3	Brian Finney	4	6				
4							
5			-000-				
6							
7							
8							
9							
10							
11							
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20	CERTIFICATE						
21	I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter, and that the transcript was prepared by me and under my						
22							
23	supervision.						
24	s/ Ana P. Warre			November 2	27, 2017		
25	Ana P. Warren, C U.S. District Co			Date			